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Introduction

In our past 200 years we have seen the advancing development of Dental Arts and Science though discoveries by its practitioners. Perhaps it will do some good to review the basis upon which fixed tooth replacement has evolved—that is the prosthetic crown. Prior to 1871, before the first manufactured foot-treadle dental engine was introduced in America, the restoration of structurally compromised teeth was with hand cutting instruments and bands of metal or wires that were placed about the tooth remnants. Although crude and only for the very wealthy, they seemed to be secure enough to last sometime-temporarily or permanently depending upon the time in service. Since life expectancy at this time was less than 35 years, even “temporary”—a year or more—would work well. We show here in our graphic Figure 1. a page from the Dental Cosmos. In it we see the art of “engrafting” a metal crown about a tooth with minimal reduction/preparation.

When the electric dental drill was patented by G. Green in 1871 and modern city electrification took place in the early 1900s the more rapid and precise preparation of teeth could be done. The shell crowns continued to play a part in this through swaging of a metal plate to “engraft” the crown about the damaged tooth often without major cutting of the tooth’s hard structure. Our next graphic gives an idea about the process of capping teeth in a S.S. White manufacturing ad from 1919.

The third stage in crown preparation took place about that same time with more radical tooth reduction, some practitioners assert it was “tooth mutilation.” Marcus Ward, DDS stated in the Dental Cosmos of 1919 that:

“Whatever you may think about the severity of this criticism of a large percentage of the profession, and whatever conclusions you may reach by a careful study of the questions, you must admit that there is a fairly widespread something, call it what you will, that keeps many men from doing (fixed) bridge work. The truth of the matter in such instances is that many men are afraid to do bridge work. Afraid of what? you may ask. One says ‘I can never bring myself to a place where I can cut into a perfectly good tooth for the sake of replacing a tooth or two.’”

The evolution of crowns and their reduction may be seen in our next graphic from that period 1919, figure 3. But the drift to more robust reduction of the support teeth for the purpose of tooth replacement was well on its way to what is now known as “CAD/CAM Crowns” which I call the fourth stage. In 1970 or so the ability to fuse porcelain to metal introduced a whole new wave of radical tooth reduction, the “Ceramco Crown Prep” requiring most if not all of the enamel of a tooth being removed. Both systems, CAD/CAM and Ceramco, require robust and often complete reduction of the tooth itself.

We now show the ceramic to metal bridge done for our patient about 3 years ago and the underlying tissue destruction in Figure 4&5 and the replacement with the Carlson Bridge®
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Figure 1: We show here in our graphic Figure 1. a page from the Dental Cosmos. In it we see the art of “engrafting” a metal crown about a tooth with minimal reduction/preparation.
“Winged Pontic” Tooth Replacement seen in Figure 6—the finished product. In and of itself the ceramic to metal bridge approach worked for a while but failed ultimately killing both the support teeth and requiring a larger replacement with the Carlson Bridge® “Winged Pontic” Tooth Replacement Systems.

In the evolution of the “crown” or the “abutment crown”...

Figure 2: Our next graphic gives an idea about the process of capping teeth in a S.S. White manufacturing ad from 1919.

Figure 3: The evolution of crowns and their reduction may be seen in our next graphic from that period 1919, figure 3.

Figure 4: We now show the ceramic to metal bridge done for our patient about 3 years ago and the underlying tissue destruction in Figure 4 and 5 and the © RS Carlson DDS 4 replacement with the Carlson Bridge® “Winged Pontic” Tooth Replacement seen in Figure 6—the finished product.

Figure 5: In and of itself the ceramic to metal bridge approach worked for a while but failed ultimately killing both the support teeth and requiring a larger replacement with the Carlson Bridge® “Winged Pontic” Tooth Replacement Systems.

Figure 6: In the evolution of the “crown” or the “abutment crown” for fixed bridges we have seemed to have come full circle, from the “prefabricated shell crown” of the late 1800s which was “wrapped” about the tooth to the “prefabricated Winged Pontic” of the early 21st Century, which is “wrapped” about the tooth.
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for fixed bridges we have seemed to have come full circle, from the “prefabricated shell crown” of the late 1800s which was “wrapped” about the tooth to the “prefabricated Winged Pontic” of the early 21st Century, which is “wrapped” about the tooth. Both systems are with no or minimal tooth reduction. An all-composite Direct Adherence Bridge (Direct Adhesion Prosthesis) is a fixed dental replacement for one or multiple missing teeth that need replacing, relies on its adherence and strength, with superior composites, and its adherence with attachment components-bonding agents. It is the newest of the five currently available methods for replacing missing teeth. The four most commonly in use are the:

i. “flipper,” a removable prosthesis;
ii. the orthodox fixed bridge with metal requiring tooth preparation;
iii. the resin retained bridge with specific metal and design requirements; and,
iv. the dental implant-all requiring multiple appointments and tissue alteration-the DAB does not. (1,5) All previous methods are of an “indirect nature” having laboratory phases; the DAB is a “direct intervention.”

A direct adherence prefabricated dental bridge has no metal framework and requires none. It is simply a prefabricated false tooth made of composite that may be altered to fit the space between two (or alongside one) natural teeth that is bonded in place once adjusted. The Direct Adherence Bridge (glue-in bridge) is only adhered, in most cases, to external structures of natural teeth or silicate porcelain veneer crowns over altered teeth; and, will not damage the surrounding teeth due to preparation (cutting hard structures) or placement and is well accepted and liked by patients. The average life span of a-glue in bridge is that of any other fixed bridge.

The adherence material that holds the winged pontic to the natural structures is the same composite, which composes the pontic. The composite to tooth bond strength is approximately 4,800 lbs./in², which far exceeds all resin, or conventional adhesion cements which range from 1,500 psi to 2,240 psi. The compressive strength of the composite is about 62,000 psi and its tensile strength about 22,000 psi.

The DAB is also devoid of gross fiber inclusion since macro-fibers such as Ribbond, Kevlar, or Nylon mesh do not do what they purported are said to do-make the composite matrix stronger. [2, 3] DAB is simply a prefabricated false tooth made of dental composite paste of extraordinary quality that may be altered to fit the space between two (or alongside one) natural teeth that is bonded in place once adjusted. The Direct Adherence Bridge (glue-in bridge, DAB) is only adhered, in most cases, to external structures of natural teeth or silicate porcelain veneer crowns over altered teeth; and, will not damage the surrounding teeth due to preparation (cutting hard structures) or placement and is well accepted and preferred by patients. The average life span of a-glue in bridge is that of any other fixed bridge. Insurance actuarial average is five years.

In conventional bridges the failure is likely to be complete fracture of the abutment tooth with difficult-to-manage results, possibly requiring extraction of its abutment, while the glue-in methodology can be easily repaired and reattached in situ. A concept similar to the “glue-in fixed bridge” (DAB) is the resin-retained bridge, but the latter has many drawbacks. Unlike the DAB, glue-in dental bridge, the resin-retained bridge, such as the Maryland bridge or the Rochette bridge, the resin-retained bridge requires a very specific set of design principles, cutting of enamel and or dentine and multiple appointments since the metal framework is constructed in a laboratory utilizing an indirect methodology.

Porcelain fused to metal Maryland and Rochette bridges contain beryllium metal that is a known carcinogen. This is why the vast majority of dentists use for their Maryland bridges now made of porcelain such as e-max or zirconia. These are becoming more common but require more thickness to be strong enough. Zirconia Maryland bridges can be very good but one must worry about how well you are bonding to the tooth structure with zirconia. Direct Adhesion Bridges require one appointment only for preparation of the teeth (without cutting), or porcelain crowns over them, and of the materials for complete installation and finishing [4, 5]. The direct insertion of the direct adhesion fixed bridge (the glue-in “winged pontic”) is accomplished after adjustment of the false tooth to fit the space and then attachment components added to develop the wings which are finalized and augmented, bonding them to the natural teeth or old porcelain veneer crowns as one builds the direct bridge-thus the term direct glue-in “winged pontic” fixed bridge as distinguished from the indirect methodology of all other methods employed in tooth replacement. We present a comparison chart of the various methods presented in the table below feeling that once reviewed it will assist the practitioner with valuable and pertinent information and distinctions.

**Installation Process**

The direct adherence bridge methodology of bridging takes place in the following sequences:

i. Teeth are scrupulously cleaned and then etched with about a 38% Phosphoric-nitric acid (Ultra Dent) solution for about 1 minute;

ii. The “winged pontic” had been previously adjusted so that it easily slides into the space in a reproducibly way;

iii. The winged pontic is treated the same as the teeth with acid (see 1);

iv. Both the teeth and winged pontic are cleaned with water, dried and then silanated (Carlson Bridge WP Bond Enhancer) and a clear polymer (Carlson Bridge WP Clear Resin Adhesive) applied to a glossy sheen;

v. Soft dental composite (Carlson Bridge WP Composite) is applied to the proximals of the winged pontic and to one or two proximals of the attachment teeth;

vi. The prefabricated winged pontic direct adhesion bridge is installed in proper alignment and after confirming...
occlusion and line of draw, cured with the polymerizing blue light-visible light curing (TPC LED55, 440nM-490nM [TPC Advanced Technology]);

vii. Additional composite is added as needed (Carlson Bridge WP Composite);

viii. The sculpting phase begins with installation before and after curing; specifically, after curing the bridge is shaved, shaped, shaved and contoured to a tooth like looking replacement (25-um and 50-um flamed shaped finishing diamonds, Lasco Diamonds); and finally,

ix. The polishing and finishing phase ensures the proper aesthetics and bite relationship and surface gloss (Berlew polishing wheels, Dedico “white flexies” rubber wheels)

Case Study
An 82 year old man split tooth #12 and came to us to correct his missing tooth issue. He had heard of our tooth replacement process and wanted it done rather than extensive tooth reduction for a traditional bridge or implant placement for a crown. His physical condition prohibited long sessions in the chair since he had spinal scoliosis.

In view of his requirements we accomplished the following steps as demonstrated in the photos as follow in one hour.

In photo 1 a missing left first premolar, tooth #12 is seen. The prefabricated “Winged Pontic” is seen in photo 2 having been adjusted for the edentulous space.

Photo 3 shows occlusal relationships of the prefab “Winged Pontic,” trimmed and adjusted into the space between the support teeth without attachment composite affixed. The line of placement is confirmed as the “Winged Pontic” is adjusted to flow in and out of the space easily.

Photo 4 shows the “Winged Pontic” first premolar #12 with attachment composite applied to the proximal surfaces ready for insertion between #11 and #13, but only after preparation of the support teeth #11 and #13 for decay and surface cleanliness.

After treating the enamel of support teeth #11 and #13 with etchant, water cleaning and drying, old existing composites are silanated with Carlson Bridge® “Winged Pontic” Bond Enhancer and then a coat of clear resin and attachment composite are applied to proximal surfaces seen in Photo 5.

The prefab “Winged Pontic” (Photo 4) has first been etched

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Removable flipper</th>
<th>Traditional bridge (Maryland bridge)</th>
<th>Dental Implant</th>
<th>Direct Adhesive-DAB (Carlson Bridge®)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>~$1,740.00</td>
<td>~$4,500.00</td>
<td>~$6,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Visits</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>12-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitive Result</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. Lifespan</td>
<td>6 mo.-1yr.</td>
<td>3-5yrs.</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue Altered</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion time</td>
<td>1-2 Weeks</td>
<td>About 1 month</td>
<td>6mo.-1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in chair</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>2-3 hours</td>
<td>2-5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected pain</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed meds</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easily modified</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade modified</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Costs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes-High</td>
<td>Yes-Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Doctor</td>
<td>Very little</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanic Current</td>
<td>(Clasps)-2-3uA</td>
<td>(Metal)-3-12uA</td>
<td>(Tit.)-30-200uA¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Patient</td>
<td>Very Little</td>
<td>Moderate/High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death Threat</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Single Tooth Replacement Comparison.

Photo 1: Pre-op showing slight decay on mesial of #13 with a cervical composite restoration on the facial aspect.

Photo 2: The “Winged Pontic” is shown after fitting into the edentulous area.
Photo 3: Occlusal view of loose fitting "Winged Pontic".

Photo 4: "Winged Pontic" prepared for installation with soft composite on proximals.

Photo 5: Once proximals of #11 and #13 are readied, the "WP" is installed.

Photo 6: Once full insertion complete curing takes place and adjusting begins.

Photo 7: Final adjusting and polishing of the Carlson Bridge® "WP."

Photo 8: Occlusal view of the finished composite bridge.

attachment composite application to proximal surfaces as seen in Photo 4. The “Winged Pontic” is now ready for insertion between the support teeth seen in Photo 5. The prefabricated, pretreated “Winged Pontic” is carried to the space and inserted as seen Photos 5 and 6 in the predetermined line of draw. The attachment composite is smoothed over all aspects of the pontic and support teeth and then light cured. The “Winged Pontic” is layered over with finishing composite, if needed. This is a time when creative artistic skills may be applied. Once cured, the occlusion is checked and adjusted in all excursions. Various flame shaped finishing diamonds are used for characterization. Final polish may be done with fine finishing diamonds 25 micron and 50 micron, and rubber wheels. Final results are demonstrated in Photos 7 and 8.

Summation

This process, the Carlson Bridge® “Winged Pontic” tooth replacement system may open doors to new possibilities in the way dentists practice. [6] We call it the “Peaceful Bridge” since it does not wage war upon the tooth or teeth unnecessarily. The excessive reduction is destructive to the dental organ we so blithely call tooth and in a sense is like waging war against it. Biosynthetic tissue engineering seems to be the leading edge in dentistry today, but only as an adjunct to other technical procedures carried out in the dental office, such as implants, flippers, or traditional porcelain veneer bridges. Resin composite materials are the closest to dentine and enamel in their strengths witnessed by their flexural modulus, compressive strengths and wear capacities.
With this new methodology, it may also be a pleasant experience for the patient who can shorten his or her time in the dental chair and come away with an immediate dental cosmetic enhancement. Additionally, it will not create a financial burden or, require extensive healing time or unnecessary oral discomfort. It is also beneficial to the dental practitioner since the procedure is shorter in duration, therefore physically less demanding, less complicated in that intricate tooth preparations are unnecessary, and ultimately more rewarding creatively, artistically and remuneratively. As with the new restorative composite systems of direct composite restorations the “Winged Pontic” prefab system offers a choice for the doctor and the patient regarding complex treatment plans and procedures. In this day and age of limited dental health budgets, it is an idea whose time has come.

In senior populations health issues as well as costs are a major consideration in replacement of missing teeth. We have perfected the methodology for a one appointment, minimal time in the chair, direct composite bridge we identify as the Carlson Bridge® “Winged Pontic” tooth replacement system for one or more missing teeth. [4, 5] We present this article with the intention of encouraging others to use this non-invasive, artistically satisfying and relatively inexpensive system not only for seniors, special needs people, but in young and middle age people as well.
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